Application No.: 10/811,882

Amendment dated: October 12, 2004

Reply to Office Action of: July 9, 2004

REMARKS

Claim 1 has been amended to improve the clarity of the claimed subject matter and

to bring the claims into conformity with U.S. practice and format, and to place the

application fully in condition for allowance. The original Abstract has been amended to

provide a more concise summary of the disclosure in accordance with U.S. practice format.

The drawings are objected to because the claimed central indent is now shown in

the drawings. Accordingly, a replacement sheet is submitted herewith which shows

reference numeral 34 as being the central indent. The specification has been corrected to

include this reference numeral. No new matter has been entered. Withdrawal of the

objection to the drawings is respectfully requested.

The specification is objected to due to informalities. Accordingly, the specification

has been amended to correct informalities, improve syntax and correct spelling errors, and

in accordance with U.S. practice and format. No new matter has been entered. In view of

the amendments to the specification, withdrawal of this objection is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-5 remain pending upon entry of the amendments to the claims above.

Allowable Subject Matter

Applicant thanks the Examiner for indicating that claims 1-5 would be allowable if

rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection under 35 USC 112, second paragraph.

Accordingly, the claims have been amended to place same in full accord with all

requirements of 35 USC 112, second paragraph. It is respectfully believed that claims 1-5

are now in condition for allowance.

16

Application No.: 10/811,882

Amendment dated: October 12, 2004

Reply to Office Action of: July 9, 2004

Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. With regard to independent claim 1, the Examiner indicated that the tail end centering device (line 21) does not have proper antecedent basis, and the phrase "composed by" (line 21) is vague and indefinite. Accordingly, independent claim 1 has been amended to include proper antecedent basis for the "tail end centering device," and the phrase "composed by" has been replaced with "comprising". Independent claim 1 has further been amended to correct errors in syntax. In view of the amendments to independent claim 1, withdrawal of the rejection based on 35 USC 112, second paragraph, is requested.

In the event there are any outstanding matters remaining in the present application which can be resolved by a telephone call or facsimile communication to Applicant's Attorney, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned by telephone or facsimile at the numbers provided below.

Respectfully submitted,

BACON & THOMAS, PLLC

WONKI K. PARK

Attorney for Applicant

Registration No. 38,991

Date: October 12, 2004

BACON & THOMAS, PLLC 625 Slaters Lane, Fourth Floor Alexandria, Virginia 22314 Telephone: 703-683-0500

Facsimile: 703-683-1080

WP/irs

S:\Producer\wp\WANG 811882\amendment.wpd

Application No.: 10/811,882 Amendment dated: October 12, 2004 Reply to Office Action of: July 9, 2004

AMENDMENTS TO THE DRAWINGS:

Please enter the appended Replacement Sheet containing Figures 2 and 3.